

Bryan Jarvis

From: John-Twin Peaks LLC [john@twinpeaksco.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 10, 2011 1:07 PM
To: Bryan Jarvis; newhighschool@norwoodma.gov
Cc: 'Kimbrooks'; esicular@gmail.com; priley4632@verizon.net; 'mary marsoobian'; 'Judith A Howard'; june36john32@yahoo.com; 'Sean Dixon'; donohuesol@aol.com; dcawley@norwoodrecord.com; selectmen@norwoodma.gov
Subject: loam pile

Bryan/John,

I just finished reading a story about the demo of the old high school in the Record but in it was a small section about this loam pile at the end of Bellevue Ave. I have several questions which I would like read and addressed at your next meeting:

1. It was discussed (in the story) about possibly trucking this loam down Bellevue Ave and to Highland cemetery. We have been told point blank that there would be no construction traffic or trucks down our street at any time during this project. We have these statements on record. I realize that what our town officials say doesn't mean much but this was specifically asked by many of us and addressed by many of you. There are a lot of kids on this street, it is very tight/narrow and trucks running up and down it will be very dangerous.
2. There seems to be a surplus of about 15,000 yards of loam, according to the same story. As is customary in site work on large projects and as we were told several times, the site contractor "owns" that loam pile. Therefore the site contractor is responsible for use of it or disposal of it. It is also my understanding that Mark Ryan would like "some of it". I'm not sure what "some" means- is that 5,000 yards or more or less? Who knows? I have several questions here: Who will pay for machine time to load the trucks to transport this fill to town property? Who will pay for the trucks? Who will pay for machine time on town property to stockpile this loam? This is a great deal for Guigli if the town (ie: the taxpayers) are picking up the tab for all this work- since it will cost Guigli to get rid of all this loam if indeed that's what they'll need to do, if the town was not to take it.

I'm sure you must have discussed all of this with Guigli. Could you please enlighten us as to the result of your discussions and related costs? Or tell me if I'm incorrect on my information.

In addition to the above question I have a related question but unrelated to the above subjects. We can hear a constant loud humming coming from the new building. It sounds like it may be the HVAC systems? Can something be done to address this noise? It is very prevalent late afternoon and at night (after activities end). So much so that we can hear it in our homes. Can you erect noise barriers around that equipment so that we can go back to living in peace and quiet again, as we did before this building went up.

One final item: the public safety tower at the water towers. I understand there was some discussion about this at one of the last BOS meetings. I believe it was mentioned that none of the neighbors has complained about it since it was erected? This statement is disingenuous at best. We have fought ANY type of antenna on or near the water towers. This particular antenna was shoved down our throats by our town manager because he didn't want it to be seen on top of the new high school. He said it would be cheaper to put it on top of the water towers. As we all know and as it turns out, it could not be installed on the water towers and we (the taxpayers) had to pay (dearly I might add) to erect a new tower next to the water towers. We paid huge money for consultants and for the construction of it. When I heard this was to be the case I asked our town manager to consider putting it on the new high school. I thought it may be a cheaper alternative. I never heard a peep back from him. While it is true you cannot see that tower and antenna now from our street, it's because the trees are in full bloom and mask it. This will not be the case during fall, winter and part of the spring. And right now you can clearly see it from Winter street on the cemetery side. This tower and antenna was installed under protest from the abutters. We did not want it at the time of discussion and we do not want it now- but, of course, it's up and there's nothing we can do about it.

Respectfully,

John Marsoobian, Jr

C:781-223-3664
50 Bellevue Ave

